

PARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

Mf

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. Υ 08/942,415 10/16/97 KURODA 971154 **EXAMINER** LM31/0607 WALLERSON, M ARMSTRONG WESTERMAN HATTORI MCLELAND & NAUGHTON ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1725 K STREET NW **SUITE 1000** 2722 WASHINGTON DC 20006 **DATE MAILED:** 06/07/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

Advisory Action

Applicant(s)

08/942,415

Yoshiharu Kuroda et al

Examiner

Mark Wallerson

Group Art Unit 2722



a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) X expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whicheve is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	r
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	У
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>May 18, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	
∑ The proposed amendment(s):	
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
🗴 will not be entered because:	
X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.	
\square they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: Claim 1 has amended subject matter ("a recording sheet supply part provided below said main body and	
independent of said multi-purpose tray") which requires further search and consideration.	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	
☐ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a	
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ☑ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condit for allowance because: 	ion —
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ☑ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condit for allowance because: It raises new issues that would require further search and consideration. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ion —
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condit for allowance because: It raises new issues that would require further search and consideration. ✓ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised the Examiner in the final rejection. ✓ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): 	ion —
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ☑ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condit for allowance because: It raises new issues that would require further search and consideration. ☑ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised the Examiner in the final rejection. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: 	ion —
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condit for allowance because:	ion —
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ☑ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condit for allowance because:	by
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condit for allowance because: It raises new issues that would require further search and consideration. ✓ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised the Examiner in the final rejection. ✓ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1-13 	by